

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 13, 2017

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Albuquerque District, Midway Solar LLC - Midway Solar Project, Pecos County, Texas, SPA-2017-00043-LCO

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Texas County/parish/borough: Pecos City: N/A

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. **30.994°**, Long. **-102.2332°**

Universal Transverse Mercator: **13 764200.61 3432223.48**

Name of nearest waterbody: Pecos River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Pecos, 13070008**

- ☒ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: March 8, 2017
- ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): June 6, 2013

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: **Midway Solar LLC**
- ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- ☐ USGS NHD data.
- ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: **1:24K; TX-INDIAN MESA**
- ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
- ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):
- ☐ or ☐ Other (Name & Date):
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☐ Other information (please specify):

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: The project area is comprised entirely of uplands. Areas with displayed water features on reference maps, such as blue (or purple) lines or polygons that were based off The U.S. Geological Society (USGS) National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), were noted for field

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

documentation and further evaluation by the applicant. These areas that were identified within the project area did not display a discernable OHWM within the project site. The project areas dominant plant species consisted primarily of upland plants, including western ragweed, prairie coneflower and honey mesquite.