



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 5/7/21

ORM Number: SPA-2021-00096

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>:

State/Territory: NM City: Farmington County/Parish/Borough: San Juan County

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 36.907496 Longitude -108.084465

**II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list **MUST** be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D).

**B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>**

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**C. Clean Water Act Section 404**

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)<sup>3</sup>

(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

**D. Excluded Waters or Features**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12))<sup>4</sup>:

Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Farmington Glade	0.25 acres	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool	Ephemeral feature

**III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: *2021-096. Biological Survey (June 2019); 2021-096. Engineering Plans (2019).*

This information *is* sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: *N/A*

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: *N/A*

Photographs: *Google Earth 2015, 2019; Digital Globe 2018; on-site photographs taken on 4/28/21.*

Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: *4/8/21*

Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): *N/A*

Antecedent Precipitation Tool:

USDA NRCS Soil Survey:

USFWS NWI maps: *2021*

USGS topographic maps:

**Other data sources used to aid in this determination:**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	National Hydrography Dataset (2021), WaterWatch (2021)
NOAA Sources	U.S. Drought Monitor (2021), Western Regional Climate Center (2021)
USACE Sources	National Wetland Plant List (2021), A Field Guide to the Identification of the Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHWM) in the Arid West Region of the Western United States (2008)
USDA Sources	Web Soil Survey (2021)
DOI Sources	U.S. Fish and Wildlife National Wetland Inventory (2021)
EPA Sources	The Ecological and Hydrological Significance of Ephemeral and Intermittent Streams in the Arid and Semi-arid American Southwest (2008).
Other Sources	A. Park Williams, Edward R. Cook, Jason E. Smerdon, Benjamin I. Cook, John T. Abatzoglou, Kasey Bolles, Seung H. Baek, Andrew M. Badger, Ben Livneh. 2018. Large Contribution from Anthropogenic Warming to an Emerging North American Megadrought. <i>Science</i> . Vol. 368 Issue 6488. Pp. 314-318.
	USGCRP, 2018: Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II [Reidmiller, D.R., C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, and B.C. Stewart (eds.)]. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA, 1515 pp. doi: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

- B. **Typical year assessment(s):** According to the 2018 National Climate Assessment parts of the Southwest recorded high temperatures in 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that have not been observed since 1895. Increasing temperatures associated with drought and amplified by climate change have led to hydrological droughts in California, the Colorado River Basin, and the Rio Grande. In the Colorado Basin these conditions have contributed to lower runoff and to 17%-50% of the record-setting streamflow reductions between 2000 and 2014 (USGCRP, 2018). Stream gages maintained by the U.S. Geological Society (USGS) are currently reporting *much below normal* flows across northwestern New Mexico (USGS, 2021).

Current and historic conditions in this region are also discussed in a peer reviewed study conducted by Columbia University titled “Large Contribution from Anthropogenic Warming to an Emerging North American Megadrought”. The study indicates that the Southwest is experiencing a historic “megadrought” with the last 20 years ranking as the second-driest period in the last 1200 years (A. Park. Williams, 2018).

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) categorizes drought conditions by intensity, and data over the last 20 years indicates that the Albuquerque District has experienced consistent drought conditions throughout this period. Over this same timeframe, San Juan County has experienced drought conditions in all years with the exception of 2001. Current conditions reflect *exceptional drought* across an estimated 72% of the County and conditions are expected to persist (NOAA, 2021).

Drought has been prevalent across this region over the last 20 years, and while data indicates a continuing progression towards drier conditions, the current conditions and trend are typical for this region.

- C. **Additional comments to support AJD:**

### SETTING

The surficial geology in the project area is the Nacimiento Formation which is composed of shale dominated formations of all ages. Three major soil map units occur in the project area—Blancot-Notal association, gently sloping; Gypsiorthids-Badland-Stumble complex, moderately steep; and a small section of Farb-Persayo-Rock outcrop complex, moderately steep (USDA/NRCS 2018). Elevation in the project area ranges from approximately 5,860 to 6,300 feet above mean sea level, and the review area receives approximately 8.1 inches of precipitation annually; primarily during the months of July, August, September, and October. (WRCC, 2021).

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Plant species within the project area were identified and classified in accordance with the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region (Version 2.0) and the National Wetland Plant List. Classifications range from Obligate (OBL), Facultative Wetland (FACW), Facultative (FAC), Facultative Upland (FACU), and Upland (UPL) and are differentiated by the frequency in which each plant species occurs in wetlands. Table 1 identifies the species and indicator of vegetation found within the project area.

**Table 1.**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Indicator
Four-wing saltbush	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	UPL
Utah Juniper	<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>	UPL
Antelope bitterbrush	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	UPL
Rubber rabbitbrush	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>	UPL
Big sagebrush	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	UPL
Blue grama	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	UPL
Cheatgrass	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	UPL
Russian thistle	<i>Salsola tragus</i>	FACU
Kochia	<i>Bassia scoparia</i>	FAC
Tumble mustard	<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>	FACU
James' galleta	<i>Hilaria jamesii</i>	UPL

Based on aerial imagery of the project area in 2015, 2018, and 2019, the assessed features did not exhibit any evidence of seasonal flow. There are no riparian corridors that suggest that water flows more frequently than in response to storm events or that the water table is near the surface for portions of the year. Additionally, there is no evidence of connecting springs that contribute flow to these features.

## JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

Based on the review of aerial imagery, climate data, the information provided in the Biological Survey, and site observations, this feature only flows in response to highly variable precipitation events driven primarily by convection during the summer months. As a result, the aquatic resource evaluated as part of this AJD is determined to be an ephemeral stream channel. In accordance with 33 CFR 328.3 and the June 22, 2020 implementation of the NWPR, this waterway does not meet the definition of "Waters of the United States" and, therefore, is not currently subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.



<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.

TOTAL LENGTH OF ROW = 23,705 FT.  
 LENGTH ROW BLM = 13,179 FT.  
 LENGTH ROW PRIVATE = 10,526 FT.  
 PROPOSED ROW WIDTH = 40 FT.

TOTAL AREA = 948,200 SQ. FT.  
 TOTAL BLM AREA = 527,160 SQ. FT.  
 TOTAL PRIVATE AREA = 421,040 SQ. FT.

TUA ON BLM AREA  
 TOTAL LENGTH = 13,179 FT.  
 PROPOSED TUA WIDTH = 10 FT.  
 TOTAL TUA AREA = 131,790 SQ. FT.  
 TOTAL TUA ACREAGE = 3.02 ACRES



AERIAL SOURCE: © 2018 GOOGLE EARTH PRO, AERIAL DATE: MARCH 15, 2015.

**FIGURE 1A**



**animas  
 environmental  
 services**  
 Farmington, NM • Durango, CO  
 animasenvironmental.com

<b>DRAWN BY:</b> C. Lameman	<b>DATE DRAWN:</b> August 9, 2018
<b>REVISIONS BY:</b> C. Lameman	<b>DATE REVISED:</b> February 3, 2021
<b>CHECKED BY:</b> E. McNally	<b>DATE CHECKED:</b> February 3, 2021
<b>APPROVED BY:</b> E. McNally	<b>DATE APPROVED:</b> February 3, 2021

**AERIAL SITE LOCATION MAP**  
 PROPOSED NORTH STAR DWCMSCW, INC.  
 8-INCH WATER LINE  
 SAN JUAN COUNTY - E. CULPEPPER FLATS REGIONAL  
 WATER CONNECTION PROJECT  
 HWY 574 - AZTEC TO EAST CULPEPPER FLATS,  
 NEW MEXICO