This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 6, 2018


C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: New Mexico   County/parish/borough: Bernalillo County  City: Albuquerque
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 35.009299° N, Long. -106.629878° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 13 at 351280.883211 X and 3875288.031329 Y
Name of nearest waterbody: Tijeras Arroyo
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Rio Grande
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lower Tijeras Arroyo 130202030203
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
  ✗ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 6 Mar 2018
  ✗ Field Determination. Date(s): 31 May 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
      □ TNWs, including territorial seas
      □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ✗ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: Approximately 10,221 linear feet: average of 70 width (ft) and/or approximately 16.4 acres.
      Wetlands: acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³
   □ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
      Explain: .

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1 only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: 130 square miles
      Drainage area: 130 square miles
      Average annual rainfall: 14.9 inches
      Average annual snowfall: 6.57 inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         ☒ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         ☐ Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
         Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 2-5 river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
         Identify flow route to TNW: Two unnamed ephemeral streams flow from the north boundary of the review area to Tijeras Arroyo, also in the review area and a non-RPW, which flows to the west into the Rio Grande, a TNW.

---

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
Tributary stream order, if known:  

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  
**Tributary** is:  
- [X] Natural  
- [ ] Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
- [X] Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The two unnamed ephemeral tributaries to Tijeras Arroyo have been slightly altered due to crossing an existing rail spur and relocation of flows through a lined channel directed towards Tijeras Arroyo on the east side of University Boulevard.  

**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  
- Average width: 70 feet  
- Average depth: 10 feet  
- Average side slopes: **2:1.**  

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  
- [X] Silts  
- [X] Sands  
- [X] Concrete  
- [X] Cobble  
- [X] Gravel  
- [X] Bedrock  
- [X] Muck  
- [X] Vegetation. Type/% cover:  
- [ ] Other. Explain:  

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Tributaries are eroded at the outlet of the culvert structures crossing the rail spur, but are only identifiable by the lack of vegetation further downstream before becoming sheet flow.  

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Due to the aquatic resources being ephemeral streams, run/riffle/pool complexes more than likely exist, but can only be observed during flow events.  

Tributary geometry: **Meandering**  
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 4%  

(c) Flow:  
Tributary provides for: **Ephemeral flow**  
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5  
Describe flow regime: Flows occur only during rainfall or snowmelt runoff events. Groundwater does not appear to contribute to surface flows in this reach of Tijeras Arroyo even though springs are located several miles upstream.  
Other information on duration and volume: According to data obtained from USGS Streamstats peak flow volume in Tijeras Arroyo at the 2-year peak flood is 1030 cubic feet/second, the 10-year peak flood is 3160 cubic feet/second.  

Surface flow is: **Confined.** Characteristics: Tijeras Arroyo and the 2 unnamed tributaries have clearly visible bed and bank features that can be seen from aerial photography. Tijeras Arroyo is a very incised stream channel in this reach due to highly erosive substrate and the amount of development in its watershed.  

Subsurface flow: **No.** Explain findings: The groundwater table is very low and channels are usually dry within this reach.  
- [ ] Dye (or other) test performed:  

Tributary has (check all that apply):  
- [X] Bed and banks  
- [X] OHWM (check all indicators that apply):  
  - [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
  - [X] changes in the character of soil  
  - [X] shelving  
  - [X] vegetation matted down, bent, or absent  
  - [X] leaf litter disturbed or washed away  
  - [X] sediment deposition  
  - [X] water staining  
  - [X] other (list):  
- [ ] Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:  

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  
- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:  
  - [ ] oil or scum line along shore objects  
  - [ ] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  
  - [X] physical markings  
  - [X] other (list):  
- [ ] Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  
  - [ ] survey to available datum;  
  - [X] physical markings;  
  - [ ] vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.  

---  

*A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.  
Ibid.*
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: During flow events turbidity is elevated due to erodibility of substrate as well as increased runoff from development in the watershed. The Albuquerque International Sunport Airport and U.S. Air Force Kirtland Air Force Base is located on the north boundary of the review area, which contributes pollutants from fuels, lubricants, and other chemicals from airport/air force base operations to the watershed.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: The USGS has collected water quality data on Tijeras Arroyo at gage station 08330600 from 1979-2017 and have detected the following: 6 samples contained 37 inorganics major metals such as calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium; 6 samples contained 67 nutrients such as ammonia, phosphorus, nitrates, nitrites, and orthophosphates; 5 samples contained 70 pesticide organics such as 1,4-dichlorobenzene, diazinon, atrazine, and thiabendazole; and 5 samples contained 5 radiochemicals such as uranium.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Riparian corridor contains primarily desert shrubs and short grasses such as salt-bush, creosote bush, cacti, and short grasses.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Habitat for Bank Swallow (Riparia riparia) and Burrowing Owl (Athene cunicularia).
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
- Wetland size: acres
- Wetland type. Explain: .
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Pick List. Explain: .
Surface flow is: Pick List
Characteristics: .
Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Pick List.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .
Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List
Approximately (       ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: 

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D.

The City of Albuquerque Draft "Tijeras Arroyo Biological Zone (Bio-Zone) Open Space Resource Management Plan" (Plan), dated 2007 and prepared by Marron and Associates, Inc., describes Tijeras Arroyo as the main waterway for most of the snow melt and rain flows from Tijeras Canyon and portions of the East Mountains to the Rio Grande and that it is one of the largest arroyos in the Albuquerque area. The Plan describes Tijeras Arroyo hydrology as a significant source of local aquifer recharge due to the soils well drained and excessively drained qualities and that hydrologic issues primarily concern flash flooding, surface water runoff from surrounding developments and storm drains, and contamination from pollutants. The Plan states that Albuquerque's storm water management system in areas adjacent to Tijeras Arroyo is designed to convey storm water runoff directly to the Tijeras Arroyo and then on to the Rio Grande.

The Plan further states that water from municipal storm water management systems contain high levels of automotive pollutants and debris, and agricultural contaminates. The USGS 08330600 stream gage data collected from 10-1-1998 to 9-30-2013, calculates the average daily discharge from Tijeras Arroyo to range between 0-8.4 cubic feet/second, with greatest chance of flows occurring during monsoon season. The USGS has recorded the highest peak flow in Tijeras Arroyo between 1952-2013 as approximately 3000 cubic feet/second with a majority of peak flows over this period between 100-1500 cubic feet/second on a near annual basis.

The USGS water quality testing at the gage station has also detected pollutants in Tijeras Arroyo that can be transported downstream to the Rio Grande during flow events. Tijeras Arroyo also contains habitats for several species including migratory birds. Our observation of indirect data gathered through aerial photos; on-site photos; USGS stream gage data and maps; NRCS soils maps; USFWS maps; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence and Ecological
The two unnamed ephemeral streams have a continuous OHWM to Tijeras Arroyo. The westernmost tributary is located north of Tijeras Arroyo and collects and transports storm water runoff from the Albuquerque Sunport International Airport and University Boulevard, which has a high potential to contain sediment, oils, and lubricants from vehicle operations. The second tributary is also located to the north of Tijeras Arroyo and transports sediment from the extremely erosive soils in the surrounding landscape. The second tributary is deeply incised and consists of a small drainage area within Tijeras Arroyo valley.

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

3. **Non-RPWs** that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abuting an RPW:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

---

8See Footnote # 3.
Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: \( \text{acres} \).

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

   Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: \( \text{acres} \).

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

   As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

   Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. **ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

   - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   - Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
   - Other factors. Explain: .

   Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: \( \text{linear feet} \times \text{width (ft)} \).
   - Other non-wetland waters: \( \text{acres} \).
   - Wetlands: \( \text{acres} \).

F. **NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

   - If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
   - Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
   - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
   - Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
   - Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

   Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
   - Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): \( \text{linear feet} \times \text{width (ft)} \).
   - Lakes/ponds: \( \text{acres} \).
   - Other non-wetland waters: \( \text{acres} \). List type of aquatic resource: .
   - Wetlands: \( \text{acres} \).

   Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
   - Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): \( \text{linear feet} \times \text{width (ft)} \).

---

9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
Lakes/ponds: acres.
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:.
Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
☐ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Aquatic Resource Delineation Report, Sunport South, Albuquerque, NM", prepared by Tierra West, LLC, dated December 18, 2017.
☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:.
☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:.
☐ USGS NHD data.
☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Bernalillo County and Parts of Sandoval and Valencia Counties, New Mexico.
☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Albuquerque West.
☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):.
☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:.
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
☐ Other (Name & Date): "Aquatic Resource Delineation Report, Sunport South, Albuquerque, NM", prepared by Tierra West, LLC, dated December 18, 2017.
☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:.
☐ Applicable/supporting case law:.
☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: USGS stream gage data referenced above.
☐ Other information (please specify): City of Albuquerque Tijeras Arroyo Plan referenced above.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:.